APPENDIX III—*contd.*

MR. BHUPALAM NANJUNDAIYA (Hosadurga Taluk).—

*Q.—43.* Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Whether it is a fact that people in Hosadurga Taluk have been from a long time praying for the construction of a bridge across the Vedavathi River?

(*b*) What is the cost of the estimate for construction of the bridge?

(*c*) Whether the District Board have agreed to pay one-third of the cost of the estimate?

(*d*) Whether it has come to the knowledge of the Government that the raiyats, on account of the transhipment of goods, are being put to lot of trouble?

(*e*) Whether Government intend undertaking the work as early as possible? And if so, what steps have been taken towards the execution of the project?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

*A.—43.* (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) For a masonry bridge, the cost will be roughly Rs. 2 lakhs. The cost of the construction of a temporary timber bridge will be about Rs. 30,000.

(*c*) Government have no information on the point.

(*d*) Yes, to some extent.

(*e*) Construction of a timber bridge is under contemplation.

MR. SHADAKSHARAPPA (Challakere Taluk).—

*Q.—44.* Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The names of the villages, the raiyats of which have at their cost taken channels from the Vedavathi River in Challakere Taluk for cultivation purposes?

(*b*) The extent of land irrigated by these channels?

(*c*) How many years ago were these channels constructed?

(*d*) In which year temporary wet assessment was levied on the lands under these channels?

(*e*) What was the rate at which the original assessment was levied?

(*f*) Is the Government aware (i) in which years these rates of assessment were charged and what those rates are; (ii) of the condition of these lands?

(*g*) Is it true that the assessment has been raised after some time?

(*h*) Is it possible to state the reasons for the levy of the increased rate?

(*i*) Is the Government aware that the prices of food-stuffs have gone down?

(*j*) Is the Government aware under how many *atchkats* re-survey Nos. 2, 14, 16 and 18 of Mutsandra have been assessed?

(*k*) Is it possible to state what the kandayam for an acre amounts to, if it is levied under two *asares*?

(*l*) Have the raiyats of the village submitted petitions praying for reduction of assessment?

(*m*) How many such petitions have been presented and on what dates and what steps have the Government taken on those petitions and with what result?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.—

*A.—44.* (*a*) 1. Jajur.
2. Jajur Kaval.
3. Thorebeeranahalli Jodi.
4. Nagagondanahalli.
5. Haravigondanahalli.
6. Junjaragunte.
7. Bommakunte.
8. Mathasamudra.
9. Kalvehalli.

(*b*) 272 acres.

(*c*) Correct information on this point is not available.

(*d*) From 1913-14.

(*e*) to (*h*) Rs. 3–8–0 per acre was ordered to be levied by the Sub-Division Officer. But the order was lost sight of and the rates actually levied ranged from Rs. 2 to Rs. 4 till 1931-32, when the mistake was discovered and rates of temporary assessment ranging from Rs. 3 to Rs. 3–8–0 were fixed, as noted below, again by the Sub-Division Officer:—

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Junjaragunte | .... | Rs. 3–8–0 |
| 2. | Haravigondanahalli | ... | „ 3–8–0 |
| 3. | Mathasamudra | .... | „ 3–8–0 |
| 4. | Nagagondanahalli | .... | „ 3–8–0 |
| 5. | Jajur .... | ... | „ 3–0–0 |

(*i*) Yes.

(*j*) The lands are assessed on the basis of one source of water.

(*k*) No. It depends upon circumstances of each case.

(*l*) Yes.

(*m*) It is reported that two petitions were received, one in 1931-32 and another in 1932-33, that the whole question was examined and the Deputy Commissioner found no grounds to reduce the assessment.

MR. K. RAMAIYA SETTY (Hosadurga Taluk).—

*Q.—45.* Will the Government be pleased state:—

(*a*) Whether they are aware of their reply to Question No. 31 (*h*) of Budget Session of 1931 of the Representative Assembly that the project for the restoration of the feeder channel to the Srirampur Hirekere Tank in Hosadurga Taluk will be investigated further?

(*b*) What investigation has been made so far and what is the result of such investigation?

(*c*) What may be the probable cost of the project?

(*d*) Will they be pleased to undertake the work during this year at least?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

*A.—45.* (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) A project is prepared.

(*c*) Rs. 29,500.

(*d*) Not unless the raiyats are prepared to pay a fairly liberal contribution, as otherwise the cost would be prohibitive.

MR. B. VENKATARAMAIYA (Chitaldrug Municipality).—

*Q.—46.* Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Whether they are aware that, by empowering the First Class Magistrate and Additional Sub-Judge at Chitaldrug only to decide such of the appeals preferred to them by the District Judge, Shimoga, the people are put to lot of unnecessary expense for going to Shimoga to engage advocates there, to argue their cases and to engage once again advocates of this place when the appeals are referred to the